

University of Dundee

The effect of a performance based intra-procedural checklist on a simulated emergency laparoscopic task in novice surgeons

El Boghdady, Michael; Tang, Benjie; Alijani, Afshin

Published in:
Surgical Endoscopy

DOI:
[10.1007/s00464-016-5223-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-016-5223-0)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

El Boghdady, M., Tang, B., & Alijani, A. (2017). The effect of a performance based intra-procedural checklist on a simulated emergency laparoscopic task in novice surgeons. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 31(5), 2242-2246.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-016-5223-0>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

The effect of a performance based intra-procedural checklist on a simulated emergency laparoscopic task in novice surgeons

Authors:

Michael El Bohdady, MBChB, MHPE, MD
Benjie Tang, MBChB, MD
Afshin Alijani, MBChB, PhD, FRCS

Affiliation:

Cuschieri Skills Centre, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, University of Dundee, UK.

Corresponding author:

Mr Michael El Boghdady
Clinical Research Fellow,
Email: m.elboghdady@dundee.ac.uk
michael_boghdady@hotmail.com
Telephone: 00 44 (0)1382 383400
Address: Cuschieri Skills Centre,
Level 5, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School
Dundee, UK
DD1 9SY

Abstract:

Introduction:

Surgical checklists are in use as means to reduce errors. Checklists are infrequently applied during emergency situations in surgery. We aimed to study the effect of a simple self-administered performance based checklist on the laparoscopic task when applied during an emergency simulated scenario.

Methods:

The aviation checklist for unexpected situations is commonly used for simulated training of pilots to handle emergency during flights. This checklist was adopted for use as a standardised performance based checklist during emergency surgical tasks. Thirty consented laparoscopic novices were exposed unexpectedly to a bleeding vessel in a laparoscopic virtual reality simulator as an emergency scenario. The task consisted of using laparoscopic clips to achieve haemostasis. Subjects were randomly allocated into 2 equal groups; those using the checklist that was applied once every 20 seconds (checklist group) and those without (control group).

Results:

The checklist group performed significantly better in 5 out of 7 technical factors when compared to the control group: Right instrument path length (m), median [IQR] 1.44 [1.22] vs 2.06 [1.70] ($p=0.029$), and right instrument angular path (degree) 312.10 [269.44] vs 541.80 [455.16] ($p=0.014$), left instrument path length (m) 1.20 [0.60] vs 2.08 [2.02] ($p=0.004$), left instrument angular path (degree) 277.62 [132.11] vs 385.88 [428.42] ($p=0.017$). The checklist group committed significantly fewer number of errors in the application of haemostatic clips, 3 vs 28 ($p=0.006$).

Although statistically not significant, total blood loss (lit) decreased in the checklist group from 0.83 [1.23] to 0.78 [0.28] ($p=0.724$), and total time (sec) from 186.51 [145.69] to 125.14 [101.46] ($p=0.165$).

Conclusion:

The performance based intra-procedural checklist significantly enhanced the surgical task performance of novices in an emergency simulated scenario.

Keywords:

Checklist, Laparoscopic, surgery, emergency, training, simulation, task performance.

1 Introduction:

2
3 A checklist has been defined as a comprehensive list of important actions, or steps to be taken
4 in a specific order. Checklists are used to reduce errors by compensating for potential limits
5 of human memory and attention. It is not believed that checklists prevent all human errors
6 and accidents but checklists can decrease errors if systematically followed¹.

7
8 The introduction of a Surgical Safety Checklist by the WHO has significantly reduced the
9 morbidity and mortality in surgery by reducing human errors through pre-and post-procedural
10 evaluations². Other examples of checklists include the Advanced Trauma Life Support
11 (ATLS) system³ and anaesthetic crisis management checklist^{4,5}. However, there is no study
12 to date that has looked at the effect of a surgical checklist on the task performance during
13 surgical emergency scenarios, laparoscopic or open, as a way of error prevention.

14
15 Checklists are applied routinely in aviation industry in operations, technical maintenance and
16 repair, as well as training for pilots, and other personnel. Aviation checklist for unexpected
17 events (DECIDE checklist) (Table 1) is in use for simulated training to prepare aircraft
18 personnel to handle emergencies during flights¹.

19
20 We aimed to adopt a self-administered intra-procedural checklist to study its effect on the
21 surgical task performance of novice surgeons when applied during a standardised simulated
22 laparoscopic control of a bleeding vessel.

24 Methods:

25
26 The DECIDE checklist was shortened into 3 simple components. These included: Detect the
27 problem, choose the safest solution, and then act. These aim to assist the novice to formulate
28 a solution to the problem. This was then combined with a 4-component performance based
29 checklist as detailed in figure1.

30
31 Consented 2nd to 5th year medical students with no previous laparoscopic experience were
32 randomly allocated in two equal groups by an online randomiser. The control group received
33 no checklist. The participants were given 10 minutes of introduction to the LapSim virtual
34 reality simulator, then were exposed to unexpected bleeding requiring emergent clipping for
35 haemostasis. The participants were then asked to control the bleeding. A soft beeping sound
36 was used at 20 seconds intervals as a reminder to apply the checklist that was displayed
37 beside the simulator. The control subjects had no such reminder or checklist. The task was
38 considered complete when the bleeding was stopped. The participants were then evaluated on
39 the following metrics:

- 40
41 • Right instrument path length (m): The length of the path swept by the tip of the right
42 instrument as a measure of the economy of movements.
43 • Left instrument path length (m).
44 • Right instrument angular path (degree): Pivotal rotation of the right instrument. This
45 is a measurement of how much the participant wiggled the instrument as a measure of
46 the economy of the movements. It does not take into account for axial rotations.
47 • Left instrument angular path (degree).
48 • Number of errors in applying haemostatic clips: Number of clips placed incorrectly,
49 or outside designated target areas, in addition to the dropped clips.
50 • Total task time (sec).

- Blood loss (litres).

The statistical package for the Social Sciences software (version 22, SPSS Chicago, IL, USA) was used. Data was not normally distributed and non-parametric statistics (Mann–Whitney U test) were used for data analysis. Data was presented as Median [IQR]. P value was defined as statistically significant when $P < 0.05$.

Results:

Thirty novices were randomised into 2 equal groups. Fourteen were males and 2 were left handed. The checklist group performed significantly better in 5 out of 7 technical factors when compared to the control group: Right instrument path length (m), median [IQR] 1.44 [1.22] vs 2.06 [1.70] ($p = 0.029$), and right instrument angular path (degree) 312.10 [269.44] vs 541.80 [455.16] ($p = 0.014$), left instrument path length (m) 1.20 [0.60] vs 2.08 [2.02] ($p = 0.004$), left instrument angular path (degree) 277.62 [132.11] vs 385.88 [428.42] ($p = 0.017$). The checklist group committed significantly fewer number of errors in the application of haemostatic clips, 3 vs 28 ($p = 0.006$) (Figure 2).

Although statistically not significant, total blood loss (lit) decreased in the checklist group from 0.83 [1.23] to 0.78 [0.28] ($p = 0.724$), and total time (sec) from 186.51 [145.69] to 125.14 [101.46] ($p = 0.165$).

Discussion:

Our simple performance based intra-procedural checklist has a significant accelerating effect on improving the task performance when applied by novices during a standardised simulated emergency scenario. There are few previous studies that have looked at the effect of checklists during surgical procedures, but these have been limited in use only as aid memoires for the procedural steps^{4,5,6,7}. Our study is the first attempt at looking at the effect of a surgical checklist on the laparoscopic task performance as a way of error prevention during emergency scenarios.

Research has shown that limited capacity of human brain can be exceeded when multiple attention demanding tasks are executed simultaneously⁸. The capacity of the human mind is limited in stressful situations as the experience in the aviation industry shows¹. This task saturation has been causal to accidents, for example, when unexpected events or distractions disturb the routine so that some tasks are overlooked. Surgeons can also suffer from task saturation in emergency scenarios when unexpected events and distractions occur. In an emergency scenario, the surgeon firstly needs to be able to detect and define what the problem is, secondly, to be able to select an appropriate solution to remedy the problem, and thirdly to implement the solution, all executed in a timely manner. This increased demand on mental capacity can result in task saturation, giving rise to a decline in task performance. Our checklist reminds the novice to define the problem, select a solution and execute the action in a timely manner creating a frame for structural thought process. Once the problem is defined and the solution is selected, the checklist helps the novice in executing his/her actions with fewer errors through a series of performance based checklist components (slowing down, using both hands together, being gentle and following the steps).

Our checklist is short and simple, made of seven factors making it easy to remember and quick to apply by novices repeatedly. The simplicity of the checklist minimizes its

interference as a distraction during the procedure. The checklist is based on generic factors which makes it potentially applicable to most surgical procedures. The 4-component section of the checklist was formulated separately by consensus among 14 master surgeons and piloted on 20 surgical novices. The performance based section had shown a significant accelerating effect on the acquisition of technical skills during routine laparoscopic knot tying task. The addition of the 4-component section of the checklist assisted the novice in executing the selected task with fewer errors.

The application of a mainly performance based checklist will result in error reduction rather than error correction, i.e. minimizes the occurrence of errors. Experts tend to apply our simple seven component checklist during emergency situations often unknowingly, however it takes time and practice for the novices to be able to apply these components automatically when appropriate.

Novices tend to operate at the same speed throughout all stages of the surgery regardless of the difficulties. Novices are generally advised to operate at slower rate in high risk zones of the procedure in order to decrease errors. Reminding the novices to slow down through the application of the checklist will have the desired effect of reducing the rate of sensory input from the environment to improve the task performance.

Novices often ignore their non-dominant hand at the expense of the dominant one during laparoscopic tasks when requiring intensive concentration. A typical scenario arises when a novice surgeon fails to adequately retract the tissue using the instrument in the non-dominant hand, resulting in poor exposure for the dissection. Reminding the novice to use both hands optimally can improve the task performance.

Another influencing factor for the performance in surgery is the degree of force applied to the tissue using the instrument, as too much force gives rise to errors with consequence, such as bleeding or tissue tear. The novices need guidance throughout the procedure over time to understand the appropriate degree of force required to achieve the task. For a novice, it is safer to be gentle in order to minimize any error with consequence. This constitutes the third component of the checklist, being gentle.

Although novices were asked to perform the tasks slowly, they managed to successfully complete the same task with fewer errors over the same period of time. Participants performed the task more accurately when they slowed down. This could be because the accuracy of a movement decreases when its speed of execution increases above a threshold⁹. Our interpretation is that slowing down could give the participants more time for visual feedback¹⁰.

The checklist appears to have improved the task performance by improving the economy of movements. It also appears that this improvement has been translated into a significant reduction in the number of errors committed during the application of haemostatic clips. However the extent of the blood loss is an example of the consequence of errors committed during the clip application. Task performance has a more direct relationship with the error itself (e.g. clip application) than its consequences (e.g. blood loss). Often there are other confounding variables that result in the development of consequences and complications when errors are committed.

1 The emergency scenario of a bleeding vessel was selected for this study because it is a
2 common encounter in clinical environment often requiring quick thinking and rapid
3 corrective actions executed with as few errors as possible. Virtual reality simulator was
4 selected for the purpose of this study because it allowed full participation of complete novices
5 in an emergency surgical task. This would not be ethically and practically possible in a
6 clinical setting. Furthermore, training on a virtual reality simulator has been shown to
7 improve the performance in the operating rooms¹¹. One limitation of this study design is that
8 it does not take into account stresses often encountered during live surgery in clinical
9 environment which could be all added factors depreciating surgical performance. A possible
10 future work could be the study of the application of this checklist in more complex surgical
11 scenarios performed by more experienced surgeons.

12 **Conclusion:**

13
14
15 The performance based self-administered intra-procedural checklist significantly enhanced
16 the surgical task performance of novices in an emergency simulated scenario.

17 **Acknowledgement:**

18
19
20 We would like to thank Prof Peter Donnan and Ms Maya Bouhamad for their help in the
21 statistical analysis of this study.

22 **Conflict of interest:**

23
24
25 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

References:

1. Müller, Stig, & Patel, Hitendra R. H. (2012). Lessons Learned from the Aviation Industry: Surgical Checklists. In H. R. H. Patel & J. V. Joseph (Eds.), *Simulation Training in Laparoscopy and Robotic Surgery* (pp. 1-6): Springer London.
2. Haynes, Alex B., Weiser, Thomas G., Berry, William R., Lipsitz, Stuart R., Breizat, Abdel-Hadi S., Dellinger, E. Patchen, . . . Gawande, Atul A. (2009). A Surgical Safety Checklist to Reduce Morbidity and Mortality in a Global Population. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360(5), 491-499. doi: doi:10.1056/NEJMsa0810119
3. American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma (2008) *Advanced trauma life support program for doctors*, 8th edn. American College of Surgeons, Chicago
4. Arriaga, Alexander F., Bader, Angela M., Wong, Judith M., Lipsitz, Stuart R., Berry, William R., Ziewacz, John E., . . . Gawande, Atul A. (2013). Simulation-Based Trial of Surgical-Crisis Checklists. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 368(3), 246-253. doi: doi:10.1056/NEJMsa1204720
5. Ziewacz, John E., Arriaga, Alexander F., Bader, Angela M., Berry, William R., Edmondson, Lizabeth, Wong, Judith M., . . . Gawande, Atul A. Crisis Checklists for the Operating Room: Development and Pilot Testing. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 213(2), 212-217.e210. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.04.031
6. Robb, W. B. (2012). A 10-step intraoperative surgical checklist (ISC) for laparoscopic cholecystectomy-can it really reduce conversion rates to open cholecystectomy? *Journal Of Gastrointestinal Surgery: Official Journal Of The Society For Surgery Of The Alimentary Tract*, 16(7), 1318. doi: 10.1007/s11605-012-1886-6
7. Ziewacz, J. E. (2012). The design, development, and implementation of a checklist for intraoperative neuromonitoring changes. *Neurosurgical Focus*, 33(5), E11. doi: 10.3171/2012.9.FOCUS12263
8. Ghazanfar, M. A., Cook, M., Tang, B., Tait, I., & Alijani, A. (2015). The effect of divided attention on novices and experts in laparoscopic task performance. *SurgEndosc*, 29(3), 614-619. doi: 10.1007/s00464-014-3708-2
9. Meyer, D.E., Smith, J.E.K., and Wright, C.E. (1982). Models for the speed and accuracy of aimed movements. *Psychological Review* 89:449-482.
10. Zhou, Xiaolei, Cao, Xiang, & Ren, Xiangshi. (2009). Speed-Accuracy Tradeoff in Trajectory-Based Tasks with Temporal Constraint. In T. Gross, J. Gulliksen, P. Kotzé, L. Oestreicher, P. Palanque, R. O. Prates & M. Winckler (Eds.), *Human-Computer Interaction – INTERACT 2009: 12th IFIP TC 13 International Conference*, Uppsala, Sweden, August 24-28, 2009, Proceedings, Part I (pp. 906-919). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
11. Seymour, Neal E., Gallagher, Anthony G., Roman, Sanziana A., O'Brien, Michael K., Bansal, Vipin K., Andersen, Dana K., & Satava, Richard M. (2002). Virtual Reality Training Improves Operating Room Performance: Results of a Randomized, Double-Blinded Study. *Annals of Surgery*, 236(4), 458-464.